1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA PERRY LEE SISCO, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0867 GEB JFM P 12 VS. 13 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 16 By an order filed December 21, 2005, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and 17 return to the court, within sixty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on 18 defendants Beruth, Schmidt, Spears, and Falconer. That sixty day period has since passed, and 19 plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's order. 20 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's claims against defendants 21 Beruth, Schmidt, Spears and Falconer be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 22 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States 23 District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 24 twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file 25 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the 26 1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: March 8, 2006.
4	10 T me a
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	CHILD SINIBS MAGISTRAIS SOUGH
7	/sisc0867.fusm
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
5	